

## A THEOREM ON MAJORIZING MEASURES

BY WITOLD BEDNORZ<sup>1</sup>

*Warsaw University*

Let  $(T, d)$  be a metric space and  $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  an increasing, convex function with  $\varphi(0) = 0$ . We prove that if  $m$  is a probability measure on  $T$  which is majorizing with respect to  $d, \varphi$ , that is,  $\mathcal{S} := \sup_{x \in T} \int_0^{D(T)} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(x, \varepsilon))}\right) d\varepsilon < \infty$ , then

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{s, t \in T} |X(s) - X(t)| \leq 32\mathcal{S}$$

for each separable stochastic process  $X(t)$ ,  $t \in T$ , which satisfies  $\mathbf{E}\varphi\left(\frac{|X(s) - X(t)|}{d(s, t)}\right) \leq 1$  for all  $s, t \in T$ ,  $s \neq t$ . This is a strengthening of one of the main results from Talagrand [*Ann. Probab.* **18** (1990) 1–49], and its proof is significantly simpler.

**1. Introduction.** In this paper,  $(T, d)$  is a fixed metric space and  $m$  a fixed probability measure (defined on Borel subsets) on  $T$ . We assume that  $\text{supp}(m) = T$ . For  $x \in T$  and  $\varepsilon \geq 0$ ,  $B(x, \varepsilon)$  denotes the closed ball with center at  $x$  and radius  $\varepsilon$  [i.e.,  $B(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in T : d(x, y) \leq \varepsilon\}$ ]. Let  $D(T)$  be the diameter of  $T$ , that is,  $D(T) = \sup\{d(s, t) : s, t \in T\}$ . We define  $C(T)$  as to be the space of all continuous functions on  $T$  and  $\mathcal{B}(T)$  as to be the space of all Borel and bounded functions on  $T$ .

For  $a, b \geq 0$  we denote by  $\mathcal{G}_{a,b}$  the class of all functions  $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which are increasing, continuous, which satisfy  $\varphi(0) = 0$  and such that

$$(1.1) \quad x \leq a + b \frac{\varphi(xy)}{\varphi(y)} \quad \text{for all } x \geq 0, y \geq \varphi^{-1}(1).$$

For a fixed function  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{a,b}$  we define

$$\sigma(x) := \int_0^{D(T)} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(x, \varepsilon))}\right) d\varepsilon,$$

---

Received February 2005; revised October 2005.

<sup>1</sup>Supported by Polish KBN-Grant 2 P03A 02722.

*AMS 2000 subject classifications.* Primary 60G17; secondary 28A99.

*Key words and phrases.* Majorizing measures, sample boundedness.

This is an electronic reprint of the original article published by the Institute of Mathematical Statistics in *The Annals of Probability*, 2006, Vol. 34, No. 5, 1771–1781. This reprint differs from the original in pagination and typographic detail.

$$\bar{\mathcal{S}} := \int_T \sigma(u) m(du),$$

$$\mathcal{S} := \sup_{x \in T} \sigma(x).$$

We say that  $m$  is a *majorizing measure* if  $\mathcal{S} < \infty$ . In the sequel we will use the convention that  $0/0 = 0$ .

The following theorem is the main result of the paper:

**THEOREM 1.1.** *If  $\varphi$  is a Young function and  $m$  is a majorizing measure on  $T$ , then, for each separable stochastic process  $X(t)$ ,  $t \in T$ , such that*

$$(1.2) \quad \sup_{s, t \in T} \mathbf{E} \varphi \left( \frac{|X(s) - X(t)|}{d(s, t)} \right) \leq 1,$$

*the following inequality holds:*

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{s, t \in T} |X(s) - X(t)| \leq 32\mathcal{S}.$$

This is a generalization of Theorem 4.6 from Talagrand [3]. The method we use in this paper is new and the proof is simpler. Contrary to Talagrand's result, it works for all Young functions  $\varphi$ , in particular for  $\varphi(x) \equiv x$ . The author arrived at the idea of chaining with balls of given measure by studying [4] (see also [5]).

Our main tool needed to obtain Theorem 1.1 will be a Sobolev-type inequality.

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Suppose  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{a,b}$  and  $R \geq 2$ . Then there exists a probability measure  $\nu$  on  $T \times T$  such that, for each bounded, continuous function  $f$  on  $T$ , the inequality*

$$\left| f(t) - \int_T f(u) m(du) \right| \leq aA\sigma(t) + bB\bar{\mathcal{S}} \int_{T \times T} \varphi \left( \frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{d(u, v)} \right) \nu(du, dv),$$

*holds for all  $t \in T$ , where  $A = \frac{R^3}{(R-1)(R-2)}$ ,  $B = \frac{R^2}{R-1}$ .*

An immediate consequence of Theorem 1.2 is the following corollary:

**COROLLARY 1.1.** *If  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{a,b}$  and  $R \geq 2$  then there exists a probability measure  $\nu$  on  $T \times T$  such that, for all  $f \in C(T)$ ,*

$$\sup_{s, t \in T} |f(s) - f(t)| \leq 2aA\mathcal{S} + 2bB\bar{\mathcal{S}} \int_{T \times T} \varphi \left( \frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{d(u, v)} \right) \nu(du, dv),$$

*where  $A = \frac{R^3}{(R-1)(R-2)}$ ,  $B = \frac{R^2}{R-1}$ .*

REMARK 1.1. In terms of absolutely summing operators, Corollary 1.1 means that the embedding of the Banach space of Lipschitz functions on  $T$  into the Banach space of continuous and bounded functions on  $T$  is  $\varphi$ -absolutely summing, as defined by Assouad [1].

Each increasing, convex function  $\varphi$  with  $\varphi(0) = 0$  (Young function) is in  $\mathcal{G}_{1,1}$ . Choosing  $R = 4$ ,  $a = b = 1$ , Corollary 1.1 yields the following:

COROLLARY 1.2. *If  $\varphi$  is a Young function then there exists a probability measure  $\nu$  on  $T \times T$  such that, for all  $f \in C(T)$ ,*

$$\sup_{s,t \in T} |f(s) - f(t)| \leq 32\mathcal{S} \left( \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3} \int_{T \times T} \varphi \left( \frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{d(u,v)} \right) \nu(du, dv) \right).$$

REMARK 1.2. For a Young function, it is usually possible to choose better constants than  $a = b = 1$ . For example, the function  $\varphi(x) \equiv x$  is in  $\mathcal{G}_{0,1}$ . Setting  $R = 2$ ,  $a = 0$ ,  $b = 1$  in Corollary 1.1, we obtain that there exists a probability measure  $\nu$  on  $T \times T$  such that

$$\sup_{s,t \in T} |f(s) - f(t)| \leq 8\bar{\mathcal{S}} \int_{T \times T} \frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{d(u,v)} \nu(du, dv) \quad \text{for all } f \in C(T).$$

The result is of interest if  $\bar{\mathcal{S}} < \infty$ , which is valid for a larger class of measures than majorizing measures.

We use Corollary 1.2 to prove the main result (Theorem 1.1).

## 2. Proofs and generalizations.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2. We can assume that  $D(T) < \infty$ , otherwise  $\sigma(x) = \infty$ , for all  $x \in T$  and there is nothing to prove. There exists  $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$R^{k_0} \leq \varphi^{-1}(1) < R^{k_0+1}.$$

For  $x \in T$  and  $k > k_0$  we define

$$(2.1) \quad r_k(x) := \min \left\{ \varepsilon \geq 0 : \varphi^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{m(B(x, \varepsilon))} \right) \leq R^k \right\}.$$

If  $k = k_0$ , we put  $r_{k_0}(x) := D(T)$ .

LEMMA 2.1. *For  $k \geq k_0$ , functions  $r_k$  are 1-Lipschitz.*

PROOF. Indeed,  $r_{k_0}$  is constant, and if  $k > k_0$  then for each  $s, t \in T$  we obtain from the definition

$$\varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(s, r_k(t) + d(s, t)))}\right) \leq \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(t, r_k(t)))}\right) \leq R^k.$$

Hence  $r_k(s) \leq r_k(t) + d(s, t)$  and similarly  $r_k(t) \leq r_k(s) + d(s, t)$ , which means  $r_k$  is 1-Lipschitz.  $\square$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k \geq k_0} r_k(x)(R^k - R^{k-1}) \\ & \leq \sum_{k \geq k_0} (r_k(x) - r_{k+1}(x))R^k + \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_{k+1}(x)R^{k+1} \\ & \leq \sum_{k \geq k_0} \int_{r_{k+1}(x)}^{r_k(x)} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(x, \varepsilon))}\right) d\varepsilon \\ & \quad + \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{r_{k+1}(x)} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(x, \varepsilon))}\right) d\varepsilon \\ & = \int_0^{D(T)} \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{m(B(x, \varepsilon))}\right) d\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{k \geq k_0} r_k(x)R^k \leq \frac{R}{R-1}\sigma(x).$$

Let us denote  $B_k(x) := B(x, r_k(x))$ .

For each  $k \geq k_0$ , we define the linear operator  $S_k: \mathcal{B}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(T)$  by the formula

$$S_k f(x) := \int_{B_k(x)} f(u)m(du) := \frac{1}{m(B_k(x))} \int_{B_k(x)} f(u)m(du).$$

If  $f, g \in \mathcal{B}(T)$ ,  $k \geq k_0$ , we can easily check that:

1.  $S_k 1 = 1$ ;
2. if  $f \leq g$  then  $S_k f \leq S_k g$ , hence  $|S_k f| \leq S_k |f|$ ;
3.  $S_{k_0} f = \int_T f(u)m(du)$  and hence  $S_k S_{k_0} f = S_{k_0} f$ ;
4. if  $f \in C(T)$  then  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} S_k f(x) = f(x)$ .

The last property holds true since  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} r_k(x) = 0$ .

LEMMA 2.2. *If  $m > k \geq k_0$  then*

$$(2.3) \quad S_m S_{m-1} \cdots S_{k+1} r_k \leq \sum_{i=k}^m 2^{i-k} r_i.$$

PROOF. First we will show that for  $i, j \geq k_0$ ,

$$(2.4) \quad S_i r_j \leq r_i + r_j.$$

Indeed, due to Lemma 2.1, we obtain  $r_j(v) \leq r_i(u) + r_j(u)$  for each  $v \in B_i(u) = B(u, r_i(u))$ . Since  $S_i r_j(u) = \int_{B_i(u)} r_j(v) m(dv)$ , it implies (2.4).

We will prove Lemma 2.2 by induction on  $m$ . For  $m = k + 1$ , inequality (2.3) has the form  $S_{k+1} r_k \leq r_k + 2r_{k+1}$ , and it follows by (2.4). Suppose that, for  $m - 1$  such that  $m - 1 > k \geq k_0$ , it is

$$S_{m-1} S_{m-2} \cdots S_{k+1} r_k \leq \sum_{i=k}^{m-1} 2^{i-k} r_i.$$

Applying (2.4) to the above inequality, we get

$$S_m S_{m-1} \cdots S_{k+1} r_k \leq S_m \sum_{i=k}^{m-1} 2^{i-k} r_i \leq \sum_{i=k}^{m-1} 2^{i-k} (r_i + r_m) \leq \sum_{i=k}^m 2^{i-k} r_i. \quad \square$$

Observe that

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{k=k_0}^{m-1} \left( \sum_{i=k}^m 2^{i-k} r_i \right) R^k &= \sum_{k=k_0}^{m-1} \sum_{i=k}^m \left( \frac{2}{R} \right)^{i-k} r_i R^i \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{2}{R} \right)^j \sum_{i=k_0}^m r_i R^i \\ &\leq \frac{R}{R-2} \sum_{i=k_0}^{\infty} r_i R^i. \end{aligned}$$

By the properties 1–4 of the operators  $S_k$ ,  $k \geq k_0$ , we get

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| f(t) - \int_T f(u) m(du) \right| &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} |S_m f - S_m S_{m-1} \cdots S_{k_0} f|(t) \\ &= \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left| \sum_{k=k_0}^{m-1} S_m \cdots S_{k+2} S_{k+1} (I - S_k) f \right|(t) \\ &\leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=k_0}^{m-1} S_m \cdots S_{k+2} |S_{k+1} (I - S_k) f|(t). \end{aligned}$$

We can easily check that

$$S_{k+1} (I - S_k) f(w) = \int_{B_{k+1}(w)} \int_{B_k(u)} (f(u) - f(v)) m(dv) m(du),$$

which gives

$$|S_{k+1} (I - S_k) f|(w) \leq \int_{B_{k+1}(w)} \int_{B_k(u)} |f(u) - f(v)| m(dv) m(du).$$

Condition (1.1) implies that, for  $v \in B_k(u)$ ,

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{R^{k+1}d(u, v)} \leq a + \frac{b}{\varphi(R^{k+1})} \varphi\left(\frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{d(u, v)}\right).$$

For each  $v \in B_k(u)$ , we have that  $d(u, v) \leq r_k(u)$ , and for  $w \in T$  it is  $m(B_{k+1}(w)) \geq \frac{1}{\varphi(R^{k+1})}$ . Thus, for  $v \in B_k(u)$ , the following inequality holds:

$$|f(u) - f(v)| \leq ar_k(u)R^{k+1} + bm(B_{k+1}(w))r_k(u)R^{k+1}\varphi\left(\frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{d(u, v)}\right).$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} |S_{k+1}(I - S_k)f|(w) &\leq aR^{k+1}S_{k+1}r_k(w) \\ &\quad + b \int_T r_k(u)R^{k+1} \int_{B_k(u)} \varphi\left(\frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{d(u, v)}\right) m(dv)m(du). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.2,  $S_m \cdots S_{k+2}S_{k+1}r_k \leq \sum_{i=k}^m 2^{i-k}r_i$ , therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} S_m \cdots S_{k+2}|S_{k+1}(I - S_k)f|(t) \\ \leq aR \sum_{i=k}^m 2^{i-k}r_i(t)R^k \\ + bR \int_T r_k(u)R^k \int_{B_k(u)} \varphi\left(\frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{d(u, v)}\right) m(dv)m(du). \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.5), (2.6) and then (2.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| f(t) - \int_T f(u)m(du) \right| \\ &\leq a \frac{R^2}{R-2} \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} r_k(t)R^k \\ &\quad + bR \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \int_T r_k(u)R^k \int_{B_k(u)} \varphi\left(\frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{d(u, v)}\right) m(dv)m(du) \\ &\leq aA\sigma(t) + bR \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \int_T r_k(u)R^k \int_{B_k(u)} \varphi\left(\frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{d(u, v)}\right) m(dv)m(du), \end{aligned}$$

where  $A = \frac{R^3}{(R-1)(R-2)}$ . Let  $\nu$  be a probability measure on  $T \times T$  defined by

$$\nu(g) := \frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \int_T r_k(u)R^k \int_{B_k(u)} g(u, v)m(dv)m(du) \quad \text{for } g \in \mathcal{B}(T \times T),$$

where  $M = \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} \int_T r_k(u) R^k m(du)$ . By (2.2) we obtain an inequality  $M \leq \frac{R}{R-1} \int_T \sigma(u) m(u) = \frac{R}{R-1} \bar{S}$  and thus

$$\left| f(t) - \int_T f(u) m(du) \right| \leq aA\sigma(t) + bB\bar{S} \int_{T \times T} \varphi\left(\frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{d(u,v)}\right) \nu(du, dv),$$

where  $B = \frac{R^2}{R-1}$ . Theorem 1.2 is proved.  $\square$

There is a standard way to strengthen the obtained inequalities. We provide it here for the sake of completeness:

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $\psi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be an increasing, continuous function with  $\psi(0) = 0$ , and  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  such that*

$$(2.8) \quad \psi(x) \leq \alpha + \beta \frac{\varphi(xy)}{\varphi(y)} \quad \text{for all } x \geq 0, y \geq 0,$$

where  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{a,b}$ . Then, for each bounded, continuous functions  $f$  on  $T$ , the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in T} \psi\left(\frac{|f(t) - \int_T f(u) m(du)|}{K}\right) \\ \leq \alpha + \beta \int_{T \times T} \varphi\left(\frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{d(u,v)}\right) \nu(du, dv), \end{aligned}$$

where  $K = (aA + bB)\mathcal{S}$ , and  $A, B, \nu$  are as in Theorem 1.2.

**PROOF.** Given function  $f$ , let  $c$  be chosen in such a way that

$$\psi(c) = \alpha + \beta \int_{T \times T} \varphi\left(\frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{d(u,v)}\right) \nu(du, dv).$$

By (2.8) we get, for all  $u, v \in T$ ,

$$(\psi(c) - \alpha) \varphi\left(\frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{cd(u,v)}\right) \leq \beta \varphi\left(\frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{d(u,v)}\right).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{T \times T} \varphi\left(\frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{cd(u,v)}\right) \nu(du, dv) \\ \leq \frac{\beta}{\psi(c) - \alpha} \int_{T \times T} \varphi\left(\frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{d(u,v)}\right) \nu(du, dv) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 1.2, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{c} \sup_{t \in T} \left| f(t) - \int_T f(u) m(du) \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq aA\sigma(t) + bB\bar{\mathcal{S}} \int_{T \times T} \varphi\left(\frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{cd(u, v)}\right) \nu(du, dv) \\
&\leq (aA + bB)\mathcal{S} = K,
\end{aligned}$$

which is the same as  $\sup_{t \in T} \frac{|f(t) - \int_T f(u)m(du)|}{K} \leq c$ . Since  $\psi$  is increasing, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{t \in T} \psi\left(\frac{|f(t) - \int_T f(u)m(du)|}{K}\right) \\
&= \psi\left(\sup_{t \in T} \frac{|f(t) - \int_T f(u)m(du)|}{K}\right) \leq \psi(c) \\
&= \alpha + \beta \int_{T \times T} \varphi\left(\frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{d(u, v)}\right) \nu(du, dv). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

REMARK 2.1. Similarly, we can prove that, for each  $f \in C(T)$ , the following inequality holds:

$$\sup_{s, t \in T} \psi\left(\frac{|f(s) - f(t)|}{2K}\right) \leq \alpha + \beta \int_{T \times T} \varphi\left(\frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{d(u, v)}\right) \nu(du, dv).$$

Each Young function satisfies (1.1) with  $a = 1$ ,  $b = 1$ . The minimal constant  $K = (A + B)\mathcal{S} = \frac{2R^2}{R-2}\mathcal{S}$  is equal to  $16\mathcal{S}$  and is attained for  $R = 4$ . Let us consider functions  $\varphi_p(x) \equiv x^p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ . The condition (1.1) is satisfied if and only if  $(aq)^{1/q}(bp)^{1/p} \geq 1$ , where  $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$ . Elementary calculations show that by choosing

$$\begin{aligned}
R_p &= 2 + \frac{1}{q} \left( \left( 3q - \frac{q}{p} \right)^{1/2} + 1 \right), \\
a_p &= \frac{1}{q} \left( 3q - \frac{q}{p} \right)^{-1/(2p)}, \\
b_p &= \frac{1}{p} \left( 3q - \frac{q}{p} \right)^{1/(2q)},
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain the minimal constant  $K_p := 2\left(\frac{3p-1}{p}\right)(3q - \frac{q}{p})^{1/(2q)}\mathcal{S}$ .

Since  $\varphi_p(x) \equiv x^p$  satisfies (2.8) for  $\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$ , we can conclude the above considerations with the following proposition:

PROPOSITION 2.1. *If  $m$  is a majorizing measure on  $T$ , then there exists a probability measure  $\nu$  on  $T \times T$  such that*

$$\sup_{s, t \in T} |f(s) - f(t)|^p \leq (2K_p)^p \int_{T \times T} \left( \frac{|f(u) - f(v)|}{d(u, v)} \right)^p \nu(du, dv),$$

for all  $f \in C(T)$ , where  $K_p = 2\left(\frac{3p-1}{p}\right)(3q - \frac{q}{p})^{1/(2q)}\mathcal{S}$ .



**3. An application to sample boundedness.** The theorems from the preceding section allow us to prove results concerning the boundedness of stochastic processes. In this paper we consider only separable processes. For such a process  $X(t)$ ,  $t \in T$ , we have

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{t \in T} X(t) := \sup_{F \subset T} \mathbf{E} \sup_{t \in F} X(t),$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite sets  $F \subset T$ .

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Suppose  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{a,b}$  is a Young function, and  $R \geq 2$ . For each process  $X(t)$ ,  $t \in T$ , which satisfies (1.2), the following inequality holds:*

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{s,t \in T} |X(s) - X(t)| \leq 2aAS + 2bB\bar{\mathcal{S}},$$

where  $A = \frac{R^3}{(R-1)(R-2)}$ ,  $B = \frac{R^2}{R-1}$ .

**PROOF.** Our argument follows the proof of Theorem 2.3, [3]. The process  $X(t)$   $t \in T$ , is defined on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ . Take any point  $t_0 \in T$ . Condition (1.2) implies  $\mathbf{E}|X(t) - X(t_0)| < \infty$ , for all  $t \in T$ .

We define  $Y(t) := X(t) - X(t_0)$ . Necessarily,  $\mathbf{E}|Y(t)| < \infty$ , for all  $t \in T$ , condition (1.2) holds and  $\mathbf{E} \sup_{s,t \in T} |X(s) - X(t)| = \mathbf{E} \sup_{s,t \in T} |Y(s) - Y(t)|$ . First, we suppose that  $\mathcal{F}$  is finite. We may identify points in each atom of  $\mathcal{F}$ , so we can assume that  $\Omega$  is finite. Let us observe that

$$|Y(s, \omega) - Y(t, \omega)| \leq d(s, t) \varphi^{-1}(1/\mathbf{P}(\{\omega\})),$$

so trajectories of  $Y$  are Lipschitz and consequently continuous. Using Corollary 1.1, the Fubini theorem and condition (1.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \sup_{s,t \in T} |Y(s) - Y(t)| &\leq 2aAS + 2bB\bar{\mathcal{S}} \int_{T \times T} \mathbf{E} \varphi \left( \frac{|Y(u) - Y(v)|}{d(u, v)} \right) \nu(du, dv) \\ &= 2aAS + 2bB\bar{\mathcal{S}}. \end{aligned}$$

In the general case, we have to show that, for any finite  $F \subset T$ ,

$$(3.1) \quad \mathbf{E} \sup_{s,t \in F} |Y(s) - Y(t)| \leq 2aAS + 2bB\bar{\mathcal{S}},$$

so we may assume that  $\mathcal{F}$  is countably generated. There exists an increasing sequence  $\mathcal{F}_n$  of finite  $\sigma$ -fields whose union generates  $\mathcal{F}$ . Since  $\mathbf{E}|Y(t)| < \infty$ , it is possible to define  $Y_n(t) = \mathbf{E}(Y(t) | \mathcal{F}_n)$ . Jensen's inequality shows that

$$\mathbf{E} \varphi \left( \frac{|Y_n(s) - Y_n(t)|}{d(s, t)} \right) \leq \mathbf{E} \varphi \left( \frac{|Y(s) - Y(t)|}{d(s, t)} \right) \leq 1.$$

We get (3.1) since  $Y_n(t) \rightarrow Y(t)$ ,  $\mathbf{P}$ -a.s. and in  $L_1$  for each  $t \in F$ .  $\square$

Each Young function  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{1,1}$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{S}} \leq \mathcal{S}$ , so choosing  $R = 4$ ,  $a = b = 1$  in Theorem 3.1, we obtain Theorem 1.1.

REMARK 3.1. Our assumption that  $\varphi$  is a Young function is not necessary. Suppose we have an arbitrary function  $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}_{a,b}$  and  $R \geq 2$ . For each process  $X(t)$ ,  $t \in T$  which satisfies (1.2), the following inequality holds:

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{s,t \in T} |X(s) - X(t)| \leq 4K,$$

where  $K = (aA + bB)\mathcal{S}$ ,  $A = \frac{R^3}{(R-1)(R-2)}$ ,  $B = \frac{R^2}{R-1}$ .

PROOF. Following the proof of Theorem 11.9 from [2], for every finite  $F \subset T$ , there exists a measurable map  $f: T \rightarrow F$  such that  $d(f(t), x) \leq 2d(t, x)$ , for all  $t \in T, x \in F$ .

We define  $\mu_F = f(m)$  so that  $\mu_F$  is supported by  $F$ . Thus,  $f(B(x, \varepsilon)) \subset B_F(x, 2\varepsilon)$ , and finally we get  $m(B(x, \varepsilon)) \leq \mu_F(B_F(x, 2\varepsilon))$ . Since the process  $X$  is continuous on  $F$ , similarly as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \sup_{s,t \in F} |X(s) - X(t)| &\leq 2(aA + bB) \sup_{x \in F} \int_0^{D(F)} \varphi^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{\mu_F(B(x, \varepsilon))} \right) d\varepsilon \\ &\leq 2(aA + bB) \sup_{x \in F} \int_0^{D(F)} \varphi^{-1} \left( \frac{1}{m(B(x, 1/2\varepsilon))} \right) d\varepsilon \leq 4K. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The method presented in Theorem 2.1 allows us to obtain the following result:

THEOREM 3.2. *Let  $\varphi, \psi$  be as in Theorem 2.1. For each process which satisfies (1.2), the following inequality holds:*

$$\mathbf{E} \sup_{s,t \in T} \psi \left( \frac{|X(s) - X(t)|}{2K} \right) \leq \alpha + \beta,$$

where  $K = (aA + bB)\mathcal{S}$ ,  $A = \frac{R^3}{(R-1)(R-2)}$ ,  $B = \frac{R^2}{R-1}$ .

REMARK 3.2. In the case of function  $\varphi_p(x) = x^p$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , following Remark 2.1, we obtain

$$\left\| \sup_{s,t \in T} |X(s) - X(t)| \right\|_p \leq 2K_p.$$

**Acknowledgment.** I would like to thank professor M. Talagrand for all his helpful comments.

## REFERENCES

- [1] ASSOUD, P. (1969). Le mouvement brownien sur  $[0, 1]$  applications  $\Phi$ -sommantes et  $(\Phi, \Psi)$ -sommantes. *Séminaire Laurent Schwartz 1969–1970: Applications radonifiantes* **27**. Centre de Math., École Polytech., Paris. [MR0418255](#)
- [2] LEDOUX, M. and TALAGRAND, M. (1991). *Probability in Banach Spaces*. Springer, Berlin. [MR1102015](#)
- [3] TALAGRAND, M. (1990). Sample boundedness of stochastic processes under increment conditions. *Ann. Probab.* **18** 1–49. [MR1043935](#)
- [4] TALAGRAND, M. (2001). Majorizing measures without measures. *Ann. Probab.* **29** 411–417. [MR1825156](#)
- [5] TALAGRAND, M. (2005). *The Generic Chaining. Upper and Lower Bounds for Stochastic Processes*. Springer, Berlin. [MR2133757](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
WARSAW UNIVERSITY  
UL. BANACHA 2  
WARSAW 02-097  
POLAND  
E-MAIL: [wbednorz@mimuw.edu.pl](mailto:wbednorz@mimuw.edu.pl)